

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 23313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PAPER

04/02/2009

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/442,819 11/18/1999 WARREN F. SCHMALENBERGER SCHC.002 6427 04/02/2009 EXAMINER Mark R. Wisner Wisner & Associates Suite 400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1177 West Loop South Houston, TX 77027-9012 3696 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/442.819 SCHMALENBERGER, WARREN F. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIEL S. FELTEN 3696 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/11/2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/IV/all Date_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

SI Other

Application/Control Number: 09/442,819 Page 2

Art Unit: 3696

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

1. 35 U.S.C. §101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- Claims 1-[x] are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed
 to non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. Based on Supreme Court precedent (Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876)) and recent Federal Circuit decisions, §101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing (the Supreme Court recognized that this test is not necessarily fixed or permanent and may evolve with technological advances. Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71 (1972)).
- If neither of these requirements is met by the claim(s), the method is not a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. §101.
- 5. In this particular case, regarding the first test, in performing the steps of the claimed subject matter, there is no requirement that a machine be used in characterizing the capital securities in a country, wherein the computing can be performed manually without the use of a

computer by performing a particular the method by hand, thus the claims are not considered sufficiently tied to another statutory class. Regarding the second test, since the claimed subject matter may be performed using only human intelligence, the steps do not sufficiently transform the underlying subject matter to be statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reilly, F.K., "Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management", 3rd Ed. The Dryden Press, Copyright 1989, pp. 165-170.
- --Re claims, 1-21 and 24-27, Reilly anticipates the inventive concept of the applicant's invention by providing a comprehensive list of combined market sector indexes. In particular, Reilly discloses "Salomon Brothers International Bond and Money Market and Performance Indexes" which provides a comprehensive measure of the total return of high quality securities in mayor international sectors of the bond and money markets that is market-value weighted (see Reilly, page 165).

Application/Control Number: 09/442,819

Art Unit: 3696

--Reilly also discloses the "Merrill Lynch-Willshire Capital Market Index" which is a market value-weighted index that was created to measure the total return performance of the combined stock and bond indexes (see Reilly page 165).

--Commenting on the importance of "diversity," Reilly suggests that countries have developed composite series which reflect the performance of all securities, and that world capital markets are becoming integrated--leading to world capital indexes (see Reilly, "Composite Security Market Series"). It would have therefore been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to integrate the stock index of Merrill Lynch into the Solomon Brother's index and/or the money market index of Salomon Brothers into Merrill Lynch because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been familiar with the notoriously old and well known concept of "diversification" and thus have recognized the importance of diversification to provide a more integrated and comprehensive measure of the performance of capital markets of a country and/or various other countries. Thus the integration of various indexes would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

Furthermore, an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would be familiar with the concept of a "balanced fund" or "asset allocation fund" which characteristically invests its assets into money markets, bonds, preferred stock and common stock with the intention to provide both growth and income. Thus, since it is known in the art to provide indexes based upon various fund types, a balanced fund index (e.g. Lipper Balanced Fund Index) would be an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Re claims 22 and 23: Reilly fails to disclose, as in claims 22 and 23, that the indexes are calculated via a computer and encoded in the memory of a computer. However computers are

Art Unit: 3696

widely used making business calculations and thus OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken for using

computers for creating indexes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL S. FELTEN whose telephone number is (571)272-6742.

The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Dixon can be reached on (571) 272-6803. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3696

/Daniel S Felten/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696